UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X Docket#

: 12-cv-06412-FB-SMG
Plaintiff, : BUNNELL, et al.,

: U.S. Courthouse - versus -

: Brooklyn, New York

FARZAD HAGHIGHI,

Defendant : August 28, 2013

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF CIVIL CAUSE FOR TELEPHONE CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEVEN M. GOLD UNITED STATES CHIEF MAGISTRATE JUDGE

A P P E A R A N C E S:

For the Plaintiff: Dean T. Cho, Esq.

233 Broadway Suite 2200

New York, NY 10279

For the Defendant: Michael Alliance, Esq.

<u>Transcription Service</u>: <u>Transcriptions Plus II, Inc.</u> 740 Sharon Road

Copiague, New York 11726 Transcriptions2@verizon.net

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound-recording, transcript produced by transcription service

```
Proceedings
                                                              2
              THE COURT:
                         Mr. Cho?
 1
              MR. CHO: Yes.
 2
 3
              THE COURT: It's Judge Gold. How are you?
              MR. CHO: Good, Judge Gold. How are you?
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Good. We're on the record in
   Bunnell v. Haghighi, 12-cv-6412.
 6
 7
              Now I know you've been talking to my clerk
 8
   about your difficulties reaching Mr. Alliance. Our
 9
   office has tried to reach Mr. Alliance many times since
10
   you've filed your motion as well. I'm going to try to
   call there. We were told that Mr. Alliance's brother is
11
12
   an attorney who would be covering this matter today and I
13
   am going to call their office now on a conference call
14
   and we'll see if we can reach anybody there. All right?
15
              MR. CHO: Very good.
16
              (Pause)
17
              (Conference call)
18
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible) office.
19
              THE COURT: Yes, my name is Judge Gold. I'm
20
   calling from federal court in Brooklyn.
2.1
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.
22
              THE COURT: My office was in contact with yours
23
   yesterday.
2.4
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.
25
              THE COURT: And we were told that there would
```

```
Proceedings
                                                              3
 1
   be a lawyer available to represent defendant in Bunnell
 2
   v. Haghighi.
 3
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, yes. Hold on one
 4
   second, Judge, please. I connect -- put you on with
   Michael Alliance. Hold on.
 5
 6
              THE COURT: All right.
 7
              (Pause)
 8
              MR. M. ALLIANCE: Hello, Judge Gold.
                                                    How are
 9
   you?
10
              THE COURT: Is this Mr. Alliance?
11
              MR. M. ALLIANCE: It's Michael Alliance. Yes.
12
              THE COURT: All right. Well, how are you?
13
              MR. M. ALLIANCE: Good. How's everything?
14
              THE COURT: Everything's fine but your client
15
   is under a lot of pressure right now and I'm going to
16
   give you a very expedited schedule for responding to the
17
   TRO application that the plaintiff filed very recently.
18
             MR. M. ALLIANCE: Okay.
19
              THE COURT: I have some questions about the
20
   application for the plaintiff though. Mr. Cho is on the
2.1
   line and we're on the record.
22
              MR. M. ALLIANCE: Okay.
23
              THE COURT: And so we're going to figure out
   how we can get this resolved in the next couple of days.
2.4
25
              MR. M. ALLIANCE: Okay.
```

```
Proceedings
                                                              4
 1
              THE COURT:
                         Unless, Mr. Alliance, you're
 2
   prepared to report that your client's prepared to
 3
   withdraw this lis pendens forthwith.
 4
              MR. M. ALLIANCE: No, he's not prepared to do
 5
          This is still an open contract.
              THE COURT: Yes.
 6
 7
              MR. M. ALLIANCE: Title is in question.
 8
              THE COURT: No. Well, I don't think you have a
 9
   genuine claim in that regard since your client couldn't
10
   close on the contract because he couldn't get the
11
    financing.
12
              MR. M. ALLIANCE: That's --
13
              THE COURT: So, I don't understand how the
14
   contract can be open or how a good faith claim can be
15
   made simultaneously that we can't get the financing to go
16
    through with the contract but we want to go through with
17
    the contract. You can't make both of those claims
18
   simultaneously and act in good faith, as far as I can
19
   tell.
20
              MR. M. ALLIANCE: Well, the issue is is that he
2.1
   couldn't obtain financing from a lender and he was -- he
22
    couldn't get the financing.
23
              THE COURT: Right.
2.4
              MR. M. ALLIANCE: He has been speaking and he
25
   has --
```

5 Proceedings But when was the contract made? 1 MR. M. ALLIANCE: Let me look in my records. 2 3 Let me see the file one second. 4 THE COURT: No, that's not necessary. We know 5 it was made almost a year ago because the case was filed 6 almost a year ago. 7 MR. M. ALLIANCE: Okay. 8 THE COURT: And so it's older than that. 9 don't think anybody has a reasonable expectation that 10 they can ask a seller to wait over a year while you try 11 to obtain financing. So here's our problem, Mr. Cho. I see a few of 12 13 Number one, as a magistrate judge, I don't have 14 the authority to issue this order. So, the question is 15 whether to try to get you in front of the miscellaneous 16 judge this week or in front of Judge Block who can see 17 you on September 4th at noon. So, if it can wait until 18 then, that would be my strong preference because this is 19 a very hard week to get in front of a court. 20 Second, your challenges to the lis pendens 2.1 trouble me in a few respects. Number one, you say that 22 the lis pendens is invalid because the summons and 23 complaint wasn't served after the lis pendens was 2.4 obtained and you talk about strict construction but here, 25 the answer and counterclaim were clearly served upon the

2.1

2.4

Proceedings

plaintiff. That meets the purpose of the procedural rule and I think it's form over substance to talk about lack of service on these circumstances. You've known that an answer and counterclaim that purports to raise a good faith question about title to the property was served on your client and you've known it for a long time.

MR. CHO: Your Honor, may I respond?

THE COURT: Not yet. The second challenge we have is that you say that there's no claim calling title into question. That's not true. There's a claim pending against you, your client and it's stated in the terms of the statute and you've never moved to dismiss it.

The third problem we have is that you're asking a federal court to order a state court to dissolve something that was filed there, albeit in connection with this case and I just don't -- I understand why you brought it here but I am not sure a state court's going to respond to a federal court order like that or that a federal judge who is unfamiliar with some of the antics, I'll use that word, of the defendant in this case will be so quick to grant that relief in that context.

Finally, I am focusing on Section 6514(b) of the CPLR which calls for discretionary cancellation when a County Clerk can cancel a notice of pendency if the plaintiff is not prosecuting the action in good faith.

```
7
                           Proceedings
 1
   Now I don't think that a defendant can in good faith say
   I can't go through with the closing but by the way, even
 2
 3
   though the money is in escrow, you can't sell the
   property either because if I could go through with the
 4
 5
   closing, I could buy the property (indiscernible) --
              MR. CHO: Your Honor, can I respond to that?
 6
 7
              THE COURT: -- later. So now, Mr. Cho, yes,
 8
   now you can respond.
 9
              MR. CHO: Okay. Your Honor, with regards to
10
   the -- first of all, the issue of the Court having the
11
   power to order the cancellation, it's not ordering the
12
   state court to do anything, it's ordering the County
13
   Clerk to cancel the notice of pendency. That is -- I
   cited some cases from the -- a case from the Second
14
15
   Circuit and the Eastern District of New York which makes
16
    a claim that federal court can do that and, in fact, has
17
   done that.
18
              THE COURT: Okay.
19
              MR. CHO: Okay.
20
              THE COURT: Can you point me to those cases in
21
    your brief?
22
              MR. CHO: Yeah, yeah.
23
              THE COURT: I have your brief in front of me.
2.4
              MR. CHO: I can cite -- tell you the page
25
   maybe; just one moment.
```

```
Proceedings
                                                              8
 1
              THE COURT:
                         Take your time.
 2
              MR. CHO: Because that was an issue that came
 3
   to my mind, too. I just wanted to make sure that this
 4
   Court jurisdiction's do that. Okay. I cited two cases;
 5
   Diaz v. Paterson, 547 F.3d 88, and the point cite is page
    90 that the Second Circuit --
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Can you tell me where in your brief
 8
   it is?
9
              MR. CHO: Oh, it's on page 10 of my brief.
10
              THE COURT: Just one second. Diaz and Dunn v.
11
   Wells Fargo.
12
              MR. CHO: Yes.
13
              THE COURT: Got it. Haven't had a chance to
14
   see them yet. Thank you.
15
              MR. CHO: Okay. The second issue is about the
16
             The answer in counterclaim, the statute 62 --
17
    6512 and 6514 expressly refer to service of a summons,
18
   not a complaint, a service of a summons.
19
              THE COURT: Oh, come on. We don't have a
20
   summons in federal practice.
2.1
              MR. CHO: No, no, but you see I cited a case
22
   where it said that a state court held that there's an
23
   affirmative commencement requirement and expressly
2.4
   addressed the issue of an answer and counterclaim not
25
   satisfying the test of 6512 and 6514. I can cite that
```

```
Proceedings
                                                              9
 1
   case to you.
 2
              THE COURT: Okay.
 3
              MR. CHO: Just one moment.
              THE COURT: Is that in your brief also?
 4
 5
              MR. CHO: Yes, that is in my brief --
              THE COURT: I can't --
 6
 7
              MR. CHO: -- because I expressly thought of
 8
   that issue, too but that issue has been addressed. It's
 9
   -- just one moment. Neiderfer -- oh, it's page 8, the
10
   top of page 8, Neiderfer v. Hampton Design and
11
   Construction Corp.
              THE COURT: Well, I see it but --
12
13
              MR. CHO: And that court expressly said that an
14
   answer and counterclaim did not meet the summons --
15
   service of a summons requirement, of 6512 and 6514.
16
              THE COURT: It seems like form over substance
17
   to me but I'll take --
18
              MR. CHO: But the New York Court of Appeals has
19
   expressly held that the terms of the lis pendens --
20
              THE COURT: Strictly construed; got it.
21
              MR. CHO: -- are to be strictly construed.
22
              THE COURT: Got that part.
23
              MR. CHO: And then also -- it's also plain that
   under the case law and I cite it, that you have to serve
2.4
25
   the papers directly on the property owners. So, service
```

```
Proceedings
                                                             10
 1
   on a real estate agent, service on an attorney doesn't
 2
   cut it. And no service on the Bunnells was ever made.
 3
              THE COURT: What are we going to --
              MR. CHO: Or even attempted.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: All right. I understand your
   argument. Do --
 6
 7
              MR. CHO: And then as to the substance of the
 8
   claim, as your Honor has noted, they have no good faith
 9
   claim that they're seeking any title to the property but
10
   they're not seeking specific performance, they seeking an
11
   opt -- they're asking for an option. That's something
12
   that the Court can't give them because there's no option
13
   provided in the contract. You either sell it or you
   don't.
14
15
              The lawyers -- multiple lawyers sent letters
16
   purporting to cancel the contract due to the financing.
   So, they can't reopen the contract. That's effectively
17
18
   either a cancellation or a breach/repudiation of the
19
   agreement. Either way, you can't go back and try to
20
   reopen the contract.
2.1
              THE COURT: Well, I think that's a particularly
22
   persuasive argument.
23
              MR. M. ALLIANCE: Your Honor, may I interfere
2.4
   for a second?
25
             MR. CHO: And --
```

```
Proceedings
                                                             11
 1
              THE COURT:
                         You can say whatever you want to
 2
        I mean, the one thing Mr. Cho hasn't address is
 3
   that I don't have the jurisdiction to decide this unless
 4
   you folks want to consent to have it assigned to me for
 5
   all purposes.
              MR. CHO: We're happy to consent.
 6
 7
              MR. M. ALLIANCE: No, I will not consent.
 8
              THE COURT: Yes, okay. So, do you want to
 9
   appear before Judge Block at noon on September 4th or
10
   before the miscellaneous judge tomorrow?
11
              MR. CHO: I am happy either way.
12
              THE COURT: All right. Mr. Alliance, you can
13
   get any opposing papers in by Friday morning, 10 o'clock.
14
              MR. M. ALLIANCE: Okay.
15
              THE COURT: And I will tell Judge Block to
16
   expect you on September 4th at 12:00 noon.
17
              MR. CHO: Your Honor, just one thing.
18
              MR. M. ALLIANCE: Your Honor?
19
              MR. CHO: I have a court appearance in --
20
              THE COURT: That's when he's available. He has
2.1
   Rosh Hashanah after it.
22
              MR. M. ALLIANCE: Your Honor?
23
              MR. CHO: I --
2.4
              THE COURT: Excuse me. That's when the Judge
25
   is available. That's the return time for the motion.
```

```
Proceedings
                                                             12
 1
   What is it, Mr. Cho?
 2
              MR. CHO: I was just wondering if we could just
 3
   be maybe moved back to 12:30, just to give me a little
 4
   more leeway in case this --
 5
              THE COURT: I'll find out and I'll put the
   answer in an order. You can read it on ECF.
 6
 7
              MR. M. ALLIANCE: Your Honor?
 8
              MR. CHO: Thank you, your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: Mr. Alliance?
10
              MR. M. ALLIANCE: Rosh Hashanah is that night?
11
              THE COURT: That's correct. You'll be done --
12
              MR. M. ALLIANCE: And --
13
              THE COURT: -- you'll be done long before.
14
              MR. M. ALLIANCE: I mean --
15
              THE COURT: It gives you four or five hours
16
   before Rosh Hashanah begins. If you want to come in
17
   tomorrow, you can come in tomorrow.
18
              MR. M. ALLIANCE: Well, then I wouldn't have an
19
   opportunity to review the materials.
20
              THE COURT: Yes, that's because your office
2.1
   didn't respond to our calls for two days.
22
              MR. M. ALLIANCE: Your Honor, I wanted to say a
23
   few points if I can.
2.4
              THE COURT: Go ahead.
25
              MR. M. ALLIANCE: I want to make it clear to --
```

```
Proceedings
                                                             13
   on the record and also I think Mr. Cho is confused about
 1
 2
   one matter. I just want to make sure everything is
 3
           The cancellation of this contract would only be
   effective if Mr. Cho's clients have returned the down
 4
 5
   payment. Since they haven't yet returned the down
 6
   payment, there's no cancellation. That's the first point
 7
   I want to make.
 8
              Secondly, Haghighi can purchase the property
 9
   right now because he is able to get financing from a
10
   private lender who happens to be his relative. Now he is
11
   able to purchase.
12
              And one thing that I want to note that disturbs
13
   me greatly is that last time we were at court, Mr. Cho --
14
              THE COURT: You were in court last time?
15
              MR. M. ALLIANCE: What?
16
              THE COURT: You were in court last time?
17
              MR. M. ALLIANCE: I came to court last time.
18
   was there but my -- but Daniel Alliance was in front of
19
   you. And Mr. Cho stated on the record that the sellers,
20
   the Bunnells, are renting out this property and are not
2.1
   planning on selling it. They're renting it out. He made
22
   it very, very clear that he is renting it out. Why on
23
   earth right now there's a secret contract out there and
2.4
   they're planning on selling this property makes me feel
25
   that the sellers are doing something out there. And I
```

Proceedings 14 1 think we have to conduct an investigation into this. 2 It's very possible that these sellers have brought this 3 action in order to sell to somebody else. Maybe they're -- they have another contract out there. They can get 4 5 more money. So, what I would like to ask --6 THE COURT: Oh, please. 7 MR. M. ALLIANCE: -- the Court today is for me 8 to be allowed to get discovery. I want to see this 9 contract. I want to see what's going on. I think it's 10 very important for us to conduct an investigation into 11 this. 12 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Alliance. I see no 13 basis for your claim that this contract can just be held 14 in limbo by this dispute over the escrowed amount. I'm 15 not going to give you discovery about that without full 16 briefing and some demonstration that you have some 17 cognizable claim under a contract that your client said 18 it couldn't perform a year later to keep the plaintiff 19 tied up, seems to me to be pure vindictiveness on your 20 client's part. I don't think it's brought in good faith. 2.1 You are due in front of Judge Block at noon on Wednesday. 22 If you don't want to attend, I will urge the 23 Judge to decide it without hearing from you. I will see 2.4 if he can do it at 12:30 instead but there is that air of Rosh Hashanah issue. 25

```
15
                            Proceedings
              MR. M. ALLIANCE: I would rather do it earlier.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: Excuse me. If he can do it
 3
   earlier, Mr. Cho, could you do it at 10:00?
 4
              MR. CHO: I have a court appearance in a very
 5
   important motion scheduled for 9:30 in State Supreme
 6
   Court in --
 7
              MR. M. ALLIANCE: Then if that's the case, Mr.
 8
   Cho, then you have to work around my holiday.
9
              THE COURT: Okay.
10
              MR. M. ALLIANCE: I have (indiscernible).
11
              THE COURT: All right. It was Judge Block who
12
   picked noon on the 4th. That's the way we'll keep it.
13
              MR. M. ALLIANCE: Thank you, your Honor.
14
              MR. CHO: Because I --
15
              THE COURT: Thank you, everybody.
16
                   (Matter concluded)
17
                         -000-
18
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
25
```

16

CERTIFICATE

I, LINDA FERRARA, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the said proceedings is a true and accurate transcript from the electronic sound-recording of the proceedings reduced to typewriting in the above-entitled matter.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or financially interested directly or indirectly in this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand this ${\tt 29th}$ day of ${\tt August}$, 2013.

Linda Ferrara

CET**D 656

Transcriptions Plus II, Inc.